

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO). F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/532,839		03/21/2000	Michael R. Van De Mark	UMO 1528	7157
321	7590	04/10/2002			
		RS LEAVITT AN	EXAMINER		
ONE METROPOLITAN SQUARE 16TH FLOOR				MICHL, PAUL R	
ST LOUIS	s, MO 631	02		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1714	8
				DATE MAILED: 04/10/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			1.D-8	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Group Art Unit	
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	s on the cover she	et beneath the corre	espondence address	
Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE THRE	MONTH(S) F	ROM THE MAILING DATE	
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replied to the period of the period of the period shall, by default, and the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. 	ly within the statutory maxpire SIX (6) MONTHS	ninimum of thirty (30) day from the mailing date of	s will be considered timely.	
Status	7			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on $\frac{3/6}{6}$	27/02			
This action is FINAL.	•			
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except f accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935	or formal matters, p C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G.	rosecution as to the 213.	e merits is closed in	
Disposition of Claims				
Claim(s)	is/are pen	is/are pending in the application.		
Of the above claim(s)	is/are with	is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
□ Claim(s)	is/are allo	is/are allowed.		
□ Claim(s)		is/are reje	cted.	
□ Claim(s)		is/are obje		
□ Claim(s)	are subjec	are subject to restriction or election		
Application Papers	•	requireme	ent.	
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing	Review, PTO-948.			
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is 🗆 approve	ed 🗆 disapproved.		
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected	ed to by the Examine	er.	•	
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)				
 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority und □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number received in this national stage application from the International Stage application from	ne priority document	s have been		
*Certified copies not received:	•	` "		
Attachment(s)	·	<u></u>	·	
	(-)	□ Imtomite C	DTO 446	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No	(S)			
□ Notice of Reference(a) Cited DTO 200	· ·	CO Madac at later on the	Datast Assettantian STA 454	
 □ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892 □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 			Patent Application, PTO-152	

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Part of Paper No.

· Art Unit 1714

Claims 1-59 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Motier or Craig.

Claims 1-59 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Bumanlag or Rauls.

Claims 1-59 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Motier or Craig or Bumanlag or Rauls. The Examiner's position remains as stated in the October 1 Office action. Applicants' arguments have been considered but are not persuasive. Applicants' Declaration has been considered but is deemed to be of no probative value. Motier is cited for the epoxy resin esterified with a fatty acid derived from linseed oil. This product meets applicants' claimed ester. Applicants arque that this product does not function as a coalescent aid. This argument is not persuasive. Since this product is within the scope of applicants' claimed ester, there is a presumption that this product qualifies as a coalescent aid within the scope of applicants' claims. This a prima facie case of lack of novelty and obviousness. If it is applicants' position that this product does not function as a coalescent aid, applicants had an opportunity to submit evidence in affidavit or declaration form to support their position. No such affidavit or declaration has been submitted. Therefore, applicants have no basis to argue that this product of Motier does not function as a coalescent aid. Craig is cited for the esters of linoleic acid in claim 2.

'Art Unit 1714

Applicants' argument is that Craig does not disclose a coalescent This is a prima facie case of lack of novelty and obviousness. If it is applicants' position that the esters of linoleic acid in claim 2 of Craig do not function as coalescent aids, applicants had an opportunity to submit evidence in affidavit or declaration form to support their position. No such affidavit or declaration has been submitted. Bumanlag is cited for the disclosure of ethylene oxide condensates of linoleic acid. Applicants argue that Bumanlag does not suggest a specific composition or amount which would function as coalescent aid. These arguments are not persuasive. One can determine through routine experimentation the desirable number of ethylene oxide units to be reacted with linoleic acid to form the ester. Applicants' argument concerning amounts is not persuasive because there is no corresponding limitation in the claims concerning the amount of ester. Applicants' Declaration is inadequate. Declaration applicants do not specifically indicate the compounds which qualify as the ester coalescent aid. The Declaration is also inadequate because it fails to establish prior invention by applicants for compositions comprising ethylene oxide condensates of linoleic acid as the ester coalescent aid. Rauls is cited for the disclosure of methyl esters of soybean oil. Applicants' argument concerning Rauls depends upon the declaration. Declaration is inadequate as against Rauls. Applicants have not

Art Unit 1714

shown in the Declaration prior invention for compositions comprising methyl esters of soybean oil as the ester coalescent aid.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicants are reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a). The practice of automatically extending the shortened statutory period an additional month upon the filing of a timely first response to a final rejection has been discontinued by the Office. See 1021 TMOG 35.

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS FINAL ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS ACTION. IN THE EVENT A FIRST RESPONSE IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE-MONTH SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ADVISORY ACTION IS MAILED, AND ANY EXTENSION FEE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN NO EVENT WILL THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul Michl whose telephone number is (703) 308-2451. The examiner can

Art Unit 1714

normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:30 A.M. to 4 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan, can be reached on (703) 306-2777. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 305-5433.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2351.

PRMichl:cdc

April 8, 2002

PAUL R. MICHL
PATENT EXAMINER
ART LINIT 156